To:	RNATIONAL SEAF	PCT/ISA/220	DRITY E I N G R F C E 2 4. Jar Gewert Rechtss	licher schutz INTERI	VATION (F	PCT TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
	icant's or agent's file			FOR FUI	RTHER A			
				See paragr	aph 2 belo	w		
	national application N NEP2004/051948		International filing date (27.08.2004	dayimonthiyea	(r)	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.08.2003		
A61	K45/06, A61K31 icant	/522, A61K38/	ooth national classification 17, A61P11/00	and IPC				
				<u> </u>				
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
2.	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date,							
	whichever expire		0T4C 4 DO0					
3.	·	further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
J.	FOI IDITHEI GETAII	s, see noles to t	-01111 PC 1/15AVZZU.					

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Pacreu Largo, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7851



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

	Вс	x N	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		lai	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a.	type	of material:			
			a sequence listing			
			table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:					
			in written format			
			in computer readable form			
	C.	time	of filing/furnishing:			
			contained in the international application as filed.			
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.			
4.	. Additional comments:					

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051948

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:								
	the entire international application,							
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 1,3-11,20,21 in respect of industrial applicability							
because:								
⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1,3-11,20,21 with respect of industrial applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
	see separate sheet							
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.							
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.							
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:							
	the written form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.							
	See separate sheet for further of	letail	s					

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-21

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-21

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

2,12-19

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

PCT/EP2004/051948

MP2000000 700 21 FEB 2006

#### Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 1, 3-11, 20 and 21 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. The documents cited in the International Search Report are consecutively numbered D1-D9 in the order of their listing. If not indicated otherwise, reference is made to the passages cited in said ISR.
- 2. Claims 1 to 9 are not clear in the sense of Art. 6 PCT since the therapeutic application is functionally defined by a mechanism of action which does not allow any practical application in the form of a defined, real treatment of a pathological condition (disease).
  - For the purpose of the present examination, the claims have been read as if they would be directed to the tretament of the specific diseases mentioned in dependent claims 10 and 11.
- 3. Claims 1 to 21 meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT because a combination of the phosphodiesterase 2 inhibitors of claims 8, 9 or 18, 19 and a pulmonary surfactant has not been disclosed in the prior art cited in the search report.
- 4. However, claims 1 to 21 do not appear to involve an inventive step in the sense of Art. 33(3) PCT for the reasons set out below.
  - The present application relates to the combined use of a PDE2 inhibitor and a pulmonary surfactant for the treatment of Adult Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) or asthma bronchiale.

Document D1 refers to the use of PDE2 inhibitors such as erythro-9-(2-hydroxy-3-nonyl)adenine (also known as EHNA) to block pulmonary vascular leakage, which is a hallmark of ARDS.

It is known from D4, D5, D6 that pulmonary surfactants, specially recombinant surfactant protein C-based surfactants (e.g. lusupultide) are useful for the treatment of ARDS.

The combination of compounds known to be useful for the treatment of a specific known disease can only be considered to be inventive if a synergistic effect is shown for specific combinations.

The applicant has shown that the specific combination of lusupultide and 9-(6-phenyl-2-oxohex-3-yl)-2-(3,4-dimethoxybenzyl)-purin-6-one has a synergistic effect on restoring oxygenation.

Therefore, such a specific combination would be considered to involve an inventive step.

Synergistic effects are not predictable and are, per definition, unexpected. The technical data of the present application do not provide in any way support for the allegation that any combination of any PDE2 inhibitor and any pulmonary surfactant would have a synergistic effect. Specially in view of the different chemical structures of the PDE2 inhibitors claimed and different kind of pulmonary surfactants used, an inventive step for all the possible combinations claimed cannot at present be acknowledged.

5. For the assessment of the present claims 1, 3-11, 20 and 21 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

c/o ALTANA Pharma AG, Byk-Gulden-Str. 2

### PCT

SECOND AND SUPPLEMENTARY NOTICE INFORMING THE APPLICANT OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION (TO DESIGNATED OFFICES WHICH APPLY THE 30 MONTH TIME LIMIT UNDER ARTICLE 22(1))

(PCT Rule 47.1(c))

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
29 December 2005 (29.12.2005)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1167WOORD01

IMPORTANT NOTICE

KRATZER, Bernd

78467 Konstanz

**ALLEMAGNE** 

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051948

International filing date (day/month/year) 27 August 2004 (27.08.2004)

To:

Priority date (day/month/year)
28 August 2003 (28.08.2003)

EINGANG/RECEIVED

04. Jan. 2006

taiveabl. Heiditainnete/ Intellocityal Property

Applicant

#### ALTANA PHARMA AG et al

- 1. ATTENTION: For any designated Office(s), for which the time limit under Article 22(1), as in force from 1 April 2002 (30 months from the priority date), does not apply, please see Form PCT/IB/308(First Notice) issued previously.
- Notice is hereby given that the following designated Office(s), for which the time limit under Article 22(1), as in force from 1 April 2002, does apply, has/have requested that the communication of the international application, as provided for in Article 20, be effected under Rule 93bis.1. The International Bureau has effected that communication on the date indicated below: 10 March 2005 (10.03.2005)

AU, AZ, BY, CN, CO, DZ, EP, HU, KG, KP, KR, MD, MK, MZ, NA, RU, SY, TM, US

In accordance with Rule 47.1(c-bis)(i), those Offices will accept the present notice as conclusive evidence that the communication of the international application has duly taken place on the date of mailing indicated above and no copy of the international application is required to be furnished by the applicant to the designated Office(s).

3. The following designated Offices, for which the time limit under Article 22(1), as in force from 1 April 2002, does apply, have not requested, as at the time of mailing of the present notice, that the communication of the international application be effected under Rule 93bis.1:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BZ, CA, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EA, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LV, MA, MG, MN, MW, MX, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, SC, SD, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TN, TR, TT, UA, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZW

In accordance with Rule 47.1(c-bis)(ii), those Offices accept the present notice as conclusive evidence that the Contracting State for which that Office acts as a designated Office does not require the furnishing, under Article 22, by the applicant of a copy of the international application.

4. TIME LIMITS for entry into the national phase

For the designated or elected Office(s) listed above, the applicable time limit for entering the national phase will, subject to what is said in the following paragraph, be 30 MONTHS from the priority date.

In practice, time limits other than the 30-month time limit will continue to apply, for various periods of time, in respect of certain of the designated or elected Office(s) listed above. For regular updates on the applicable time limits (30 or 31 months, or other time limit), Office by Office, refer to the PCT Gazette, the PCT Newsletter and the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters, all available from WIPO's Internet site, at http://www.wipo.int/pct/en/index.html.

It is the applicant's sole responsibility to monitor all these time limits.

The International Burcau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Agnes Wittmann-Regis

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 89 70